REMARKS

Claims 1 and 9 have been amended.

The Examiner has stated in the Advisory Action that applicant's claims 1 and 9 failed to

recite features of the invention argued in the Response After Final Under 37 CFR 1.116, filed

on April 7, 2008, as patentably distinguishing applicant's claims over the cited Imai, et al,

Kori. et al. and Hori, et al. patents. In particular, the Examiner points out that claim 1 includes

"a first recording period for recording image data' and does not recite 'recording still image

data"".

In order to more clearly recite the feature of recording still image data in the first and

second recording periods applicant's claims 1 and 9 have each been amended as above set

forth. With these amendments to the claims the claims now specifically recite that the first

and second recording periods are for recording still image data. Applicant's arguments as

presented in the above-mentioned Response After Final are thus now believed to establish the

patentability of applicant's amended claims 1 and 9, and their respective dependent claims,

over the cited art of record.

In view of the above, it is respectfully requested that this Amendment and the above-

mentioned Response After Final be entered by the Examiner. Entry of same is believed to

place the claims and application in consideration for allowance.

Accordingly, reconsideration of claims and application and passage of same to issue is

respectfully requested.

Dated: May 8, 2008

COWAN, LIEBOWITZ & LATMAN, P. C.

1133 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036

T (212) 790-9200

- 6 -